Message

From: Compher, Michael [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=E258CB856E3D4AE6BACCA7FA48CA827A-MCOMPHER]

Sent: 3/22/2017 11:00:44 AM **To**: Nam, Ed [nam.ed@epa.gov]

CC: Furey, Eileen [furey.eileen@epa.gov]

Subject: RE: thanks

Attachments: Agenda items for next weeks QA Call

The memo was sent to the Regions on March 1 (attached email). As that email describes, this was in response to the National OIG assessment of ozone data. Here's the description that came along with the draft memo and draft agenda for a conference call.

"One big item that will be discussed is the issue brought up on the last Regional Office Call about the OIG alert and what to do about monitoring organizations not meeting the 1-point QC checks. After the Regional call, OAQPS and Region 4 met to discuss the South Carolina data and our suggestion was that R4 request SC invalidation of any data not meeting the 1-point QC acceptance criteria (7% precision and \pm 7% bias) as described in the SC QAPP. In order for some level of consistency across the nation we drafted the attached memo. At present this is a draft but it reflects OAQPS position on the acceptance criteria."

Michael Compher Chief, Air Monitoring and Analysis Section Region 5 Air and Radiation Division U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Phone: 312-886-5745

From: Nam, Ed

Sent: Tuesday, March 21, 2017 10:11 PM

To: Compher, Michael <compher.michael@epa.gov>

Cc: Furey, Eileen <furey.eileen@epa.gov>

Subject: thanks

Michael,

Thanks again for the material. It is very helpful preparing me for the meeting with Chet Wayland tomorrow. I will explain a little of the background and the situation in Region 5. I don't plan on getting into details, but I do want to feel out what he is thinking about the issue.

The only question I had was when was the OAQPS memo (statement of the issue) sent to the Regions? Was it in response to issues brought up by Jesse?

Thanks

-Ed